### Attachment 12 - Shellharbour Development Control Plan 2013 Assessment

### Section 4.15 (1) (A)(lii) – Shellharbour Development Control Plan 2013

The proposal has been assessed in regard to compliance with the following chapters:

### Chapter 10 – Advertising and Signage

No signage is proposed under the current development application.

### Chapter 13 Parking, Traffic and Transport

The proposed car parking arrangement for the Eco-tourist Facility as described within the Traffic and Parking Impact Assessment (hereby TPIA) by Barker Ryan Steward dated October 2020 has been calculated from the 33 rooms, ancillary facilities including a reception area, a lounge / bar area, a restaurant with seating capacity for 50 people, 15 staff during Friday – Sunday and 8 staff for Monday – Thursday, outdoor terraces and guest facilities such as a gym, beauty spar and swimming pool for private use only. The report identifies that car parking would be provided through 50 on-site parking spaces, which includes one accessible space and a separate unloading dock (turn table) / waste collection area.

The car parking arrangement retains the existing mature Fig Trees that are located around the entrance to the site (Figure 1 below). The original parking design utilised impervious materials which has been removed from the design with the subsequent iteration (current) utilising a change to impervious surface treatment. This change of material choice conflicts with the original intention of protecting the mature Fig Trees. To ensure the Fig Trees are retained a condition is recommended to be imposed for the area contained under the tree canopy to utilise tree protection measures and permeable surfaces.



Figure 1 – Landscape Plan

The table below summarises the proposed development's car parking provision and what is required in accordance with the numerical requirements of SDCP:

Control	SDCP requirement	Discussion
Clause 13.1.3	Clause 13.1.3 For activities / uses	Eco-tourist Facility as a
Activities and use not	not covered in the table, the parking	primary use has not been
covered with table	requirement will be assessed on the	specified within Table 13.1.
13.1	merits of the application and must	Therefore, clause 13.1.3
	be supported by a parking and	applies requiring a merit
	traffic impact and a needs study.	based assessment and
		traffic impact and needs
		study. The Applicant has
		submitted a TPIA which
		adopts a merit approach
		based on car parking rates
		attributed to guest
		houses/holiday cabins and
		the primary operation of the
		site to provide
		accommodation with
Mixed use	Clause 12.1.0 Where a prepared	ecological connection.
Mixed use calculations clause	Clause 13.1.8 Where a proposal	The Applicant has prepared a TPIA which utilises the
13.1.8	includes a mix of different types of activities within the development,	numerical requirements
13.1.0	the total spaces required is	provided for Guest
	determined by cumulative parking	Houses/Holiday cabins to
	requirements of the development as	provide a framework for car
	a whole. The parking requirement	parking needs with a focus
	for each activity of the development	on the provision of parking
	is added together and rounded	for staff and accommodation
	upwards to the nearest whole	numbers (1 space per unit).
	number. A reduced number of on-	To support the car parking
	site parking spaces may be	allocation the Applicant has
	considered where a traffic and	indicated that the following
	parking study can demonstrate that	operation limitations would
	the peak parking demands of	be imposed:
	individual components of the	
	development do not coincide or	The restaurant and
	where common usage reduces total	bar will not be open to
	demand.	the public and would
		have a maximum
		capacity of 50 persons
		per meal;
		The gym, beauty spa
		and the pool would be only for the use of the
		resort guests and
		would not be open to
		public;
		11 staff members per
		day on average. This
		is based on 15 staff
		during Friday-Sunday
		and 8 staff for
		Monday-Thursday.
		· -

Council does not object to the merit approach and provision of the TPIA which ensures that staff and customer parking are provided. The limitation of 50 car spaces, together with monitoring of traffic and parking, will have a passive benefit in limiting users to the site and ensuring traffic levels along Fig Hill Lane do not exceed the forecasted traffic levels.

### Numerical Parking Requirements Table 13.1

The TPIA submitted adopts the numerical requirement of guest houses/holiday cabins which are as follows:

- 1 space per accommodation unit;
- 1 space for any resident manager / caretaker (applicable); and
- 1 space per employee.

As noted above the current development proposes the provision for 50 vehicle spaces onsite for staff and customers.

Satisfactory.

In summary, the DCP parking requirements that would apply to the subject site are:

- 1 space per accommodation unit = 33 spaces;
- 1 space for any resident manager / caretaker = 1 space;
- 1 space per staff = maximum of 15 spaces;
- Provision for service / delivery vehicles; and
- Provision for taxi / bus / coach set down / pickup facilities.

The application of these rates equates to a total of 49 spaces allocated as follows:

- Accommodation 33 spaces;
- Staff 15 spaces.

It is also noted that the proposal has been amended such that no on site manager is proposed and the number of accommodation rooms has been reduced to 31 throughout the assessment period.

Ongoing monitoring of traffic levels along Fig Hill Lane and car parking vacancy is

13.2 Access and	13.2.1 The minimum dimensions	to occur to ensure traffic levels and vehicle movements do not exceed the maximum capacity. This would have been addressed by way of conditions.  Council Engineers have
design	required for a single car space are: Length x width a. 5.5m x 2.6m - open car space b. 5.5m x 2.9m - car space abuts one wall c. 5.5m x 3.1m - car space enclosed both sides by buildings or walls d. 6.1m x 2.5m - parallel parking	reviewed the carpark layout and raised no objection to the dimensions proposed subject to the imposition of appropriate conditions.
	The above dimensions are based on an access aisle width of 7.0m. For each 0.4m reduction in the aisle width, there must be a 0.1m increase in the car space width. The dimensions for car parking spaces for people with a disability must be in accordance with relevant Australian Standards.	
Ingress and egress requirements	13.2.26 The following development requires all vehicles to enter and exit the site in a forward direction from any part on the development site:  a. multi dwelling housing comprising 4 units or more which share a common internal access driveway/road  b. any development on a classified road c. any development which will utilise part or the full length of a battleaxe type driveway or access handle  d. commercial developments  e. industrial developments  f. child care centre	Satisfactory  The intersection with Riverside Drive and Fig Hill has been recommended to be upgraded to ensure a safe transition and connection for vehicles entering and exiting the site within the northern lane of Riverside Drive. Conditions have been recommended in this regard and are provided within Attachment 1.
	13.2.28 Vehicular access to a site must be designed and located having regard to the size of vehicles likely to access the site, traffic volume on the roads serving the proposed development and the traffic volume generated by the proposed development.	
	13.2.29 Where a site is bounded by a major and minor road, vehicular access to the site should be via the minor road wherever practical.	

Car parking design and layout

13.2.31 Vehicle parking must not have an adverse impact on the residents of adjoining sites in terms of noise, odour or run-off. Car parking areas:

a. must be screened from nearby sensitive receiving environments b. stormwater from the car park must not flow directly into sensitive receiving environments.

13.2.33 The design of parking areas must minimise the potential for vehicular/pedestrian conflict. Pedestrian pathways between the parking areas and the building access should be provided.

13.2.35 Access and parking areas for service vehicles should be separated from the access and parking for employees and customers and must be designed to accommodate the largest service vehicle likely to service the site.

13.2.36 The design of parking areas must minimise the visual impact of large areas of pavement on surrounding development and streetscape. Landscaping and materials of construction must improve the amenity of the parking area. Landscaping can 'soften' the appearance of large areas of paved surfaces and multiple rows of vehicles in addition to providing shade for users and assist with surface water run-off.

Satisfactory.

The location of the car parking area and loading dock within the north west corner of site ensures adequate separation from adjoining property. The loading dock has been positioned below natural ground level of the northern boundary through the retention of the existing retaining wall which would reduce operational noise through the provision of directing sound upwards and away from the adjoining Dunmore House and 431 Riverside Drive. The position of the accommodation and staff car parking is adequately separated from 69 Fig Hill Lane to ensure that minimal impact is derived. The landscape plan indicates that adequate landscape screening would be provided through the retention of existing vegetation and the addition of appropriate plant species as required by Council's Landscape Officer.

The patron limitation placed on the restaurant, bar and spa provides a mechanism to reduce the potential impact on adjoining residential as traffic movement along Fig Hill Lane would be limited to accommodation guests only. Operational limitations for vehicle arrival/departure times and speed limits are required to be imposed to reduce the potential impact of vehicle headlights and excessive noise from impacting adjoining property.

Pedestrian traversal is separated through the provision of a drop off area/reception and through landscaped pathway that service each 'wing' of the parking layout. The two pedestrian access point further separate check in and check out with access from the central location and along the southern parking boundary.

The proposal separates service vehicles via the access road to the turn table and loading docks ensuring that operational space is separated from the customer car parking. The provision of a circulatory drop off area would ensure that patrons attending the site through a commercial means (taxi, ride sharing) would not conflict with private vehicles for the loading and unloading of vehicles. Details on if a concierge would be available have not been specified however the layout of the parking suggests that this options may be available which would provide additional separation of pedestrian and vehicle interaction.

The positioning of the carpark and use of natural elements/vegetation reduces the visual impact of the carpark with a layout that promotes function and connection to landscaped form through the addition of landscaped pathways and features which soften the hardstand areas of individual vehicle spaces while providing elements of shielding and shade.

<u>Chapter 15 – Waste Minimisation and Management</u>

Control	SDCP requirement	Discussion
Clause 15.1 –	Objectives	Satisfactory
Development types		
and waste	1. To maximise reuse and recycling	A WMMP has been
and wasts	of demolition and construction	provided.
	materials and materials from	provided.
	subdivision.	The Waste Management
		Plan nominates waste
	2. To ensure storage and collection	amounts expected to be
	of waste is designed and managed	generated during demolition,
	having appropriate regard to space,	construction and ongoing
	location, amenity and ongoing	operations. For ongoing
	management of waste management	operations the expected
	facilities.	material to be generated by
	3. To ensure waste management	the development is:
	systems are compatible with	Recyclables –
	collection services.	5L/unit/day;
	Component convices.	• Waste –
	4. Ensure developments provide	10L/unit/day.
	adequate space for kerbside	102, a.m. aay.
	collection services.	The Waste Management
		Plan nominates waste
	5. To minimise potential adverse	amounts expected to be
	impacts relating to the management	generated during demolition,
	of waste on the amenity of adjoining	construction and ongoing
	properties and within the	operations. For ongoing
	development.	operations the expected
	'	material to be generated by
	6. To minimise the amount waste	the development is:
	being deposited in landfill.	• Recyclables –
		5L/unit/day;
	7. To provide information to	Waste –
	applicants on how to prepare a	10L/unit/day.
	Waste Management Plan.	
		Council does not provide a
		commercial waste service
		utilising large (e.g. 1100L)
		bins. Therefore, the Waste
		Management Plan
		nominates a licensed private
		waste and recycling
		collection contractor to
		provide all waste and
		recycling services to the
		building.
		The nominated area for
		collection is the
		turntable/loading dock at
		Ground Floor level, which
		appears to provide sufficient
		space for typical waste
		collection vehicles.

The nominated bin servicing frequency is three collections per week for Recycling & Waste.
Council Waste Officer supports the waste service proposal in accordance with the Waste Management Plan. Waste Services recommend that Council's standard conditions regarding waste for commercial premises are imposed upon this development.

# <u>Chapter 16 – Access for People with a Disability</u>

Control	SDCP requirement	Discussion
16.1 – The Premise	The guiding principles of the	Noted, the design is capable
Standards	Premises Standards are the objects	of achieving compliance.
	of the Disability Discrimination Act 1992 (DDA) which are:	The access requirements contained with the Chapter are contained within the
	1. to eliminate, as far as possible,	Disability (Access to
	discrimination against persons on	Premises - Buildings)
	the basis of their disabilities in various areas, and in particular access to premises, work,	Standards 2010.
	accommodation and the provision of	
	facilities, services and land.	
	2. to ensure, as far as practicable, that persons with disabilities have	
	the same rights to equality before	
	the law as the rest of the community	
	3. to promote recognition and acceptance within the community of	
	the principle that persons with	
	disabilities have the same	
	fundamental rights as the rest of the community	

# Chapter 17 – Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED)

Control	SDCP requirement	Discussion
17.1 Lighting	Clause 17.1.1 – 17.1.6	The Development
		Application did not include a
		Social Impact Assessment
		Preliminary 1 required under

	SDCP. However, this matter has been considered and additional clarification sought from Council's Social Planner and CPTED Officer.
	The proposed development provide a community benefit through the removal of the existing dwelling and securing the site against trespassers.
	Councils CPTED Officer has provided a satisfactory referral response, subject to conditions. Conditions are provided within Attachment 1 to this report.

### Chapter 19 – Reflectivity

Control	SDCP requirement	Discussion
19.1 Reflectivity	Clause 19.1 Where the proposed	Noted, condition imposed
	development proposes large	within Attachment 1.
	expanses of external glass, a	
	reflectivity index of less than 10%	
	must be achieved. Further it must be	
	demonstrated that the glazing will not	
	cause hazard or discomfort to	
	pedestrians or motorists or nuisance	
	to occupants of dwellings nor undue	
	heat shedding glare onto other	
	buildings or places	

# Chapter 20 – Landscaping

Control	SDCP requirement	Discussion
Clause 20.1	20.1.1 A detailed landscape plan must accompany the development	Satisfactory
	application for all types of development. Concept plans are	A landscape plan has been prepared by Sturt Noble and
	acceptable for Residential Subdivisions (Greenfields) with the	is submitted with the subject development application.
	lodgement of the development application.	Landscaping has been integrated into the carpark
	20.2 - Development types and landscaping	and development as a whole with the use of native plants with placement positioned
	20.3 - Remnant vegetation and wetlands	for passive surveillance and sightlines for pedestrians and motorists. The plant
		species and landscape plan

interconnects the built form with the rural landscape and would improve the existing vista.

No works are proposed within the remnant vegetation and wetlands. The walking tracks are located along cleared maintained routes that do not require additional clearing. Management of the walking tracks would require an ongoing management and would have been conditioned accordingly.

Natural materials such as sandstone are to be utilised for edging and retention of soil were appropriate. The submitted landscape plan is focused in pockets around the key structures which would require addition conditions to be imposed for a holistic site approach to design of individual areas.

Plant species have been conditioned to include additional native and site specific species as per the recommendations of Council's Landscape Officer.

An arborist report and tree protection measures are to be prepared and conditioned with ongoing monitoring to occur for the trees to be retained (i.e. existing fig tree).

The proposal satisfies the provisions for open carpark design.

### Chapter 26 Bushfire Hazard

The subject site was lodged and identified as Integrated Development pursuant to the Rural Fires Act 1997 as the proposal relates to a tourist and visitor accommodation development (eco-tourist facility) in an area which is identified as being subject to bushfire hazard.

As discussed within the report, the NSW Rural Fire Service (RFS) have raised concern with regard to the modelling inputs and have not provided a 100B Authorisation, at the time of preparing this report.

As such, Council cannot be satisfied that at the time of preparing this report, that the specifications of Planning for Bushfire Protection and the relevant Australian Standards have been satisfied.

Further, it is unclear what impact the revised modelling would have on other aspects of the development, including the Asset Protection Zone extent and building location.

Chapter 27 – Aboriginal Heritage

Control	SDCP requirement	Discussion
27.1 Aboriginal Cultural Heritage	Clause 27.5 Previously disturbed sites	Satisfactory  The proposed development is excluded from the requirement to undertake a Preliminary Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Investigation due to the following circumstances:  The development site itself is not located within an environmentally sensitive area as defined by the Shellharbour LEP 2013;  The development precinct does not contain mature trees that may be over 150 years old and do not have the potential for Aboriginal scarring;  The development precinct does not contain landscape features that may potentially hold Aboriginal cultural heritage sites.  Furthermore, the below Predictive Aboriginal  Archaeological Sites Map indicates that the development precinct is sited within an area assessed as being of nil or low archaeological sensitivity.

As noted within the report,
the project is fully contained
within the perimeter of the
existing structure with
limited earthworks and a
heavily disturbed site. A
precautionary condition is to
be imposed.

# <u>Chapter 28 – European Heritage</u>

Control	SDCP requirement	Discussion
Clause .28.2 Context Advice	28.2.15 If a new building or subdivision is proposed for lots adjacent to a heritage item within the visual catchment of that item, then a heritage impact assessment will be required with the development application.	The SDCP establishes 'visual catchment areas' in relation to heritage items. Figure 28.1 of the DCP identifies the visual catchment area pertaining to Dunmore House as views from Riverside Drive looking North towards the heritage item. The proposed development is found to be partially visible from Riverside Drive looking north.
		The revised design significantly reduces the visibility of the structure with the inclusion of the vegetated roof form and utilisation of existing site structure.
		The proposed development comprises multiple buildings which have been appropriately separated and sited such that the development generally adopts a modulated form.
		There is a distinct visual separation from Dunmore House with the proposed design utilising pseudo natural materials to respond to the rural setting and site scenic quality. For these reasons, there are no detrimental impacts to

the visual catchment area of Dunmore House and Dunmore House would not be visually dominated by the proposed development.
Councils Heritage Officer has considered the proposal and advised no objections to the development, subject to conditions. These conditions are included as part of Attachment 1.

<u>Chapter 34 – Visual Landscape Character</u>

Control	SDCP requirement	Discussion
Visual Landscape	34.1 – Visual Landscape Character	Satisfactory.
Character	Assessment Requirement	
Assessment		The Visual Landscape
	34.2 - Design principles for roads	Character Assessment has
	and private access driveways	been prepared by the
	34.3 - Design principles for drainage	Applicant and is provided at Attachment 9 to this report.
	34.4 - Design principles for road signage	Fig Hill Lane is existing, no upgrades to the existing access is proposed. The intersection upgrades are contained within Riverside Drive and the associated road reserve.
	34.5 - Design principles for boundary treatment	
	34.6 - Design principles for entrances	
	34.7 - Design principles for clustering buildings	Stormwater drainage design has been provided with areas of the carpark and
	34.8 - Design principles – building form and roofline	grounds utilising WSUD including swales with gravel/stone filter as per the
	34.9 - Design principles for roof and wall materials	Landscape plan provided.
	34.10 - Design principles for roof and wall colours	be required but has not
	34.11 - Design principles for building height	been prepared under this application. Provision of signage would be required
	34.12 - Design principles for screening buildings	to meet the legislated requirements. Conditions are recommended in this
	34.13 - Design principles for	regard.
	vegetation associated with buildings	

34.14 - Design principles for electricity line

34.15 - Design principles for development on skyline

34.16 - Design principles for lighting

Vegetation and landscaping is proposed to be positioned along side boundaries adjoining residential property interfaces to provide visual interest and screening. Natural vegetation has been prioritised and additional conditions for appropriate species are to be conditioned were appropriate. The existing building envelope is predominately vacant with the majority of plant species being introduced species. The revised landscape plan utilises a mixture of grassed areas, planted areas, sandstone features (finger stairs & retaining walls) and lawn space to connect built form with natural state. The landscape plan would substantially improve upon the existing site health.

The existing fig tree is to be retained with landscape utilised to shape the entrance to site and create the impression of arrival which ties into the built form and use of the looped section of vehicle access to the reception.

The development utilises three clusters of built form between the two sets of cliff top units the central building with back of house and front entrances areas. The separation between the clusters reduces the overall impression of the development with greater opportunity to provide landscaping to contribute and interconnect the natural landscape with the built form.

The roofline utilises split levels which by way of the separation in structures and projections of the buildings achieves a varied roofline. The utilisation of the green roof connects the built form with the landscape and would reflect the rural connect of the development.

Natural appearing roof and wall materials have been utilised within the design with particular focus on the southern interface of the design.

The existing structure utilises split level design and a single storey construction for the clifftop lodges and two storey design with basement for the central building.

The proposed development has been positioned and design to sit with the existing skyline and connect to the rural landscape and ridgeline as per design provision. The central building would project to a degree but is within the maximum height limit and represents a reduced profile as revised.

A lighting schedule is required to be provided and would have been addressed through conditions.

The design as submitted has had regard for a Visual Landscape and Character which has considered the opportunities and constrains of the site.